

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,698	12/07/2001	Ming Nien		7644	
75	90 09/13/2002				
Ming NIEN			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO Box 82-144 TAIPEI,			PUROL, DAVID M		
TAIWAN					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
		DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{L}
ų,		Application No. 10/005,698	Applicant(s) Ming Nie	n l
3	Office Action Summary	Examiner David Puro	Art Unit 3634	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	:s
	for Reply	T TO EVOIDE 0	MONTHUC FROM	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	1 10 EXPIRE	MUNTH(5) FROM	
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In grade of this communication.	n no event, however, may a rep	bly be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS	from the
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty	(30) days will be considered timely.	ication
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	the application to become ABA	NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	caton.
•	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if tir	nery tilea, may reduce any	
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 7, 2</u>			<u> </u>
2a) ∐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🗓 This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$	•		merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>		is/are pending in the	application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected t	to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ect to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) accepted or	b) \square objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved b)□ disapprove	d by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	asiasitus sandas 25 H.C.	C 5 110(a) (d) as (6)	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	priority under 35 U.S.	C. 3 119(a)-(a) or (1).	
ajl		ve been received.		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Application/Control Number: 10/005,698

Art Unit: 3634

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

These claims recite the following language which appears to contain idiomatic errors:

claim 2, line 2 "structure is provided to a";

claim 4, line 2 "indicates an approximately triangle";

claim 5, lines 2-4 recites an incomplete statement;

claim 6, line 2 "is provided on a".

There is no antecedent basis for the following:

claim 1, line 1 "the lift cord";

claim 5, line 2 "the upper part";

claim 5, lines 3-4 "the head rail".

These claims are further indefinite for it is not known if applicant is claiming the subcombination of the blind lift cord locking structure per se or in combination with a venetian blind. While the preamble of claim 1 sets forth that the claims are drawn to the blind lift cord locking structure per se, claim 2 states that the structure is provided to a head rail of a venetian blind and thereby implies that the claims are drawn to the combination of the blind lift cord locking structure and the venetian blind. Similarly for claim 6. Elements of an invention to which it is necessary to refer in order to define other elements of the invention are to be positively included in the claims.

The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and

Application/Control Number: 10/005,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-6 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hyman et al.

3. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rathbun, Knight, Langhart et al, May.

David M. Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP September 9, 2002 (703) 308-2168